




Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union



Questionnaire Analysis

Program Erasmus +, project KA2 n° 586383-EPP-1-2017-1-SI-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP
(2017-2978/001-001)

2nd Training Session


AgLab

Lyon, 23-27th March, 2018

The project progress surveys are one of the key tools to assure the project's quality and success. Conducted regularly by the QA team, they enable evaluation AgLab progress and delivery, by gathering open and anonymous opinions of project partners (the personal data is optional). The surveys are very important for the results to give the Project Management Team and the Consortium an early indication of problems and risks and of what could be done to address them.


The results shown below present the participants' feedback of the 2nd Training Session in Lyon.

To what extent did the objectives of the training satisfy your expectations?







Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union



To what extent the participation in the training was useful for your professional life?




To what extent the participation in the training was useful for your institution?







Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union



To what extent the participation in the training was connected with the achievement of the objectives of AgLab project?




To what extent did the participation in the training allow you exchange opinions and experience with the partners?







Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union



How do you mark the organization and the facilities at your disposal during the meeting?




Did you receive the documentation about the meeting in due time?







Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union



How would you assess the quality of the documentation?




How would you evaluate the location of the meeting?







Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union



How would you evaluate the social aspects of the meeting?



How would you generally evaluate the meeting?





Open questions

Which aspects of the meeting do you consider the best?

Practical aspects x 6;
All aspects quite useful;
People;
Organizational part of the meeting. High quality, the facilities of the institutions on a high level;
Excursion to laboratory;
Training, practice, laboratory, excursion at school.

Which aspect of the meeting do you consider the worst?

Logistics – hotel, supermarket x 3;
Not enough time;
PPT will be in English – better to understand;
No handouts.

Which aspects of the meeting do you consider the most useful?

Metrology in laboratory, internal audit, crossed audit x 2;
Difference of standards and visit to laboratory x 2;
ISO, visit to laboratory, practical exercises x 2;
ISO 17025 x 2;
GLP;
Lectures, presentations.

Which aspect of the meeting do you consider the less useful?

Presentation about changes to ISO 17025 (we didn't get full information about changes) x 2
A lecture on standards is not complete and we know this information (history, old standards etc.)

Which characteristics of the meeting contributed to its effectiveness?

Free pleasant dialogue x 2;
Free communication with tutors and colleagues from Georgia x 2;
Practical aspects: presentations, realization of metrology tests;
Motivated trainers, highly educated;
Practical work;
A combination of theory and practice.

What advice would you give to the partner institutions so as to improve the results of the next meetings?

To start working a day earlier if it is possible. It would allow to have more free time after lectures;
It will be better to have more practical activities;
Testing the participants about the training before the start of the training;
We are from different institutions. I know ISO, but colleagues from university don't know. For me very important knowledge about changes, but from university colleagues useful knowledge; was all information about standards. Presentations must be very full and systematic;
More systematically and to fill lectures.
More practical work.